arXiv:1509.08534v2 [math.AC] 9 Oct 2015

On the complete intersection conjecture of Murthy

Satya Mandal*

University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045; *mandal@ku.edu*

7 October 2015

Abstract: *Suppose $A = k[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n]$ is a polynomial ring over a field k and I is an ideal in A. Then M. P. Murthy conjectured that $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$, where μ denotes the minimal number of generators. Recently, Fasel [F] settled this conjecture, affirmatively, when k is an infinite perfect field, with* $1/2 \in k$ (always). *We are able to do the same, when k is an infinite field. In fact, we prove similar results for ideals I in a polynomial ring $A = R[X]$, that contains a monic polynomial and R is essentially finite type smooth algebra over an infinite field k, or R is a regular ring over a perfect field k.*

1 Introduction

One of the fundamental problems in commutative algebra, over last forty years, has been the following conjecture of M. P. Murthy ([M], [M1, pp 85]), on complete intersections in affine spaces, as follows.

Conjecture 1.1 (Murthy [M], [M1])**.** *Suppose $A = k[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n]$ is a polynomial ring over a field k. Then, for any ideal I in A, $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$,* where μ denotes the minimal number of generators.

*Partially supported by a General Research Grant (no 2301857) from U. of Kansas

The conjecture (4.3) is sometimes referred to as Murthy's complete intersection conjecture, because if I/I^2 is free then it means I would be a complete intersection ideal.

Recently, Fasel [F] settled this conjecture, affirmatively, when k is an infinite perfect field, with $1/2 \in k$. We are able to do the same, when k is an infinite field, with $1/2 \in k$. In fact, we prove a much stronger theorem (1.3), given below. A companion to Murthy's conjecture would be the following open problem.

Open Problem 1.2. *Suppose $A = R[X]$ is a polynomial ring over a noetherian commutative ring R. Suppose I is an ideal in A that contains a monic polynomial. Is $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$?*

For such an ideal I, as in (1.2), when $\mu(I/I^2) \geq \dim(A/I) + 2$, Mohan Kumar ([Mk]) proved that I is image of a projective A-module of rank $\mu(I/I^2)$ and it was proved in [M2] that $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$. For an ideal I, as in (1.2), without any further conditions, it was proved in [MR], that I is set theoretically generated by $\mu(I/I^2)$-elements.

We settle this open problem (1.2), affirmatively, when R is a regular ring, as specified below (1.3).

Theorem 1.3. *Let R be a regular ring containing an infinite field k, with $1/2 \in k$. Assume R is smooth and essentially finite over k or k is perfect. Suppose $A = R[X]$ is the polynomial ring and I is an ideal in A that contains a monic polynomial. Then, $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$. In fact, for $n \geq 2$, any set of n-generators of I/I^2 lifts to a set of generators of I.*

It was also well known that the validity of Murthy's conjecture would have implications on the renowned epi-morphism problem (see 1.4) of S. Abhayankar [A]. For an exposition of the same the readers are referred to [DG], which would be our main reference on this. We state the epi-morphism problem from [DG, Question 2.1], as follows.

Open Problem 1.4 (S. Abhayankar)**.** *Suppose k is a field, with $char(k) = 0$. Let*

$$\varphi : k[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n] \longrightarrow k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_m] \quad \text{be an epi} - \text{morphism of } k-\text{algebras}$$

and $I = \ker(\varphi)$. *Then,* I *is generated by* $n - m$ *variables. That means* $I = (F_1, \ldots, F_m)$ *for some* $F_1, \ldots, F_{n-m} \in I$ *and*

$$k[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n] = k[F_1, \ldots, F_{n-m}, Y_1', \ldots, Y_m'].$$

A weaker version of the epi-morphism problem would be the following conjecture (see [DG, Question 2.2]).

Conjecture 1.5 (S. Abhayankar)**.** *Suppose* k *is a field, with* $char(k) = 0$ *and*

$$\varphi : k[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n] \longrightarrow k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_m] \quad \text{is an epi} - \text{morphism of } k-\text{algebras}$$

and $I = \ker(\varphi)$. *Then,* $\mu(I) = n - m$.

As was indicated in [DG], very limited progress has been made on the Problem 1.4. Note that Conjecture 1.5 is subsumed by Murthy's Conjecture 4.3 and same is true regarding the progress (see [DG]). A much stronger theorem, than the Conjecture 1.5, follows from (1.3) for polynomial algebras over regular rings R, as specified below (1.6).

Theorem 1.6. *Let* R *be a regular ring over an infinite field* k*, with* $1/2 \in k$. *Assume* R *is smooth and essentially finite over* k *or* k *is perfect. Suppose*

$$\varphi : R[X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n] \longrightarrow R[Y_1, Y_2, \ldots Y_m] \quad \text{is an epi} - \text{morphism}$$

of polynomial R*-algebras and* $I = \ker(\varphi)$. *If* $n - m \geq \dim R + 1$*, then* $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$. *In particular, if* R *is local, then* I *is a complete intersection ideal.*

Note that the hypotheses in (1.3) entails only finite data (in fact, one equation). So, when k is perfect, using the theorem of Popescu [P], for the purposes of the proofs of (1.3), we would be able to assume that R is a smooth affine algebra over an infinite field (see the arguments in the proof of [Sw, Theorem 2.1]). With this approach, results in this article (e.g. 3.9) would be an improvement upon the respective versions in [F], relaxing the

hypotheses in [F] that the rings are of essentially finite type over infinite perfect fields. Other than that, we also give an alternate description (5.2) of the obstruction set $\pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$, defined in [F].

While the proof of Murthy's conjecture in [F] is elegant, its simplicity is even more astonishing. Proofs are further simplified, in this article, by proving that, for ideals I in a polynomial ring $A = R[X]$, that contains a monic polynomial, any local orientation is homotopically trivial (4.1). While reworking some of the proofs in [F], I also tried to elaborate and improve parts of the expositions (e.g. [F, Theorem 1.0.5]) and avoided any repetitions that would be unwarranted.

We comment on the organization of this article. In §2, we elaborate the definition of the obstruction set $\pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$, and the obstruction classes and set up some notations. To avoid the stronger hypotheses in [F], in §3, we restate and rework some of the results in [F]. In this section (§3), we also record a statement of the homotopy lifting property theorem (3.7), due to this author (unpublished), that was used in [F] and in (3.8). In §4 we prove the main theorem (1.3), in this article. In the subsection §4.1, we summarize the main of the consequences of (1.3), including the solution to Murthy's conjecture (4.3) and the weaker epi-morphism conjecture (1.5). In §5, we provide an alternate description of the obstruction set $\pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$.

Acknowledgement:*I would like to express my gratitude to M. P. Murthy for redrawing my interest in this problem and for subsequent discussions. In fact, it is because of his queries, I was convinced that there would be some interest for this article to others. I also thank Sankar P. Dutta for discussions on the work of Popescu.*

2 The Obstruction pre-sheaf

First, we establish some notations that will be useful throughout this article.

Notations 2.1. Throughout, k will denote a field (or ring), with $1/2 \in k$ and A, R will denote a commutative noetherian ring over k. For a commutative ring A and a finitely generated A-module M, the minimal number of generators of M will be denoted by $\mu(M)$.

We denote

$$q_{2n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i + Z^2, \qquad \tilde{q}_{2n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i + Z(Z-1).$$

Denote

$$Q_{2n} = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathscr{A}_{2n}) \text{ where } \mathscr{A}_{2n} = \frac{k[X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n, Z]}{(\tilde{q}_{2n+1})} \tag{1}$$

and

$$Q'_{2n} = \mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathscr{B}_{2n}) \text{ where } \mathscr{B}_{2n} = \frac{k[X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n, Z]}{(q_{2n+1} - 1)}. \tag{2}$$

There are inverse isomorphisms $\alpha : \mathscr{A}_{2n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{B}_{2n}$ $\beta : \mathscr{B}_{2n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{A}_{2n}$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(x_i) = \frac{x_i}{2} & 1 \le i \le n \\ \alpha(y_i) = \frac{y_i}{2} & 1 \le i \le n \\ \alpha(z) = \frac{z+1}{2} \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} \beta(x_i) = 2x_i & 1 \le i \le n \\ \beta(y_i) = 2y_i & 1 \le i \le n \\ \beta(z) = 2z - 1 \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Therefore, $Q_{2n} \cong Q'_{2n}$. For a quadratic form q, of rank n, over a field k, $O(A, q) \subseteq GL_n(A)$ would denote the orthogonal q-subgroup and $EO(A, q) \subseteq O(A, q)$ would denote the elementary orthogonal subgroup. The category of schemes over $\mathrm{Spec}\,(k)$ will be denoted by $\underline{\mathrm{Sch}}_k$. Also, $\underline{\mathrm{Sets}}$ will denote the category of sets.

The homotopy pre-sheaf $\pi_0\,(Q_{2n})$ of sets, proved to be a key tool in [F], which we elaborate next. Recall, a contra-variant functor $\mathcal{F} : \underline{\mathrm{Sch}}_k \to \underline{\mathrm{Sets}}$ is also called a pre-sheaf.

Definition 2.2. Given a pre-sheaf $\mathcal{F} : \underline{\mathrm{Sch}}_k \to \underline{\mathrm{Sets}}$, and a scheme $X \in \underline{\mathrm{Sch}}_k$, define $\pi_0(\mathcal{F})(X)$ by the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) & \xrightarrow{T=0} & \mathcal{F}(X) \\ {\scriptstyle T=1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\mathcal{F})(X) \end{array} \qquad \text{in } \underline{\mathrm{Sets}} \tag{4}$$

For an affine scheme $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$ and a pre-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, as above, we write $\mathcal{F}(A) := \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec}\,(A))$ and $\pi_0(\mathcal{F})(A) := \pi_0(\mathcal{F})(\mathrm{Spec}\,(A))$. So, $\pi_0(\mathcal{F})(A)$ is given by the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(A[T]) & \xrightarrow{T=0} & \mathcal{F}(A) \\ {\scriptstyle T=1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(A) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\mathcal{F})(A) \end{array} \qquad \text{in } \underline{\mathrm{Sets}} \tag{5}$$

Given a scheme $Y \in \underline{\mathrm{Sch}}_k$, the association $X \mapsto \mathcal{H}om(X, Y)$ is a pre-sheaf on $\underline{\mathrm{Sch}}_k$. This pre-sheaf is often identified with Y, itself. So, in some literature one may write, Y for the pre-sheaf $\mathcal{H}om(-, Y)$ and $Y(X) := \mathcal{H}om(X, Y)$. Most importantly for us, for schemes $X, Y \in \underline{\mathrm{Sch}}_k$, the pre-sheaves $\pi_0(Y)(X)$ are defined as in diagram 4, or 5. For the purposes of this article, $\pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(X)$ and $\pi_0\left(Q'_{2n}\right)(X)$ would be of our particular interest. For $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$, it follows immediately that, $Q_{2n}(A)$ and $Q'_{2n}(A)$ can be identified with the sets, as follows:

$$Q_{2n}(A) = \left\{ (f_1, \ldots, f_n; g_1, \ldots, g_n; s) \in A^{2n+1} : \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i g_i + s(s-1) = 0 \right\}$$

$$Q'_{2n}(A) = \left\{ (f_1, \ldots, f_n; g_1, \ldots, g_n; s) \in A^{2n+1} : \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i g_i + s^2 - 1 = 0 \right\}$$

The homotopy pre-sheaves are given by the pushout diagrams in $\underline{\mathrm{Sets}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_{2n}(A[T]) & \xrightarrow{T=0} & Q_{2n}(A) \\ {\scriptstyle T=1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q_{2n}(A) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Q'_{2n}(A[T]) & \xrightarrow{T=0} & Q'_{2n}(A) \\ {\scriptstyle T=1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q'_{2n}(A) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0\left(Q'_{2n}\right)(A) \end{array}$$

The isomorphism $Q_{2n} \cong Q'_{2n}$, induces a bijection $\pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A) \cong \pi_0\left(Q'_{2n}\right)(A)$.

For any ring A and $\mathbf{v} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n; g_1, \ldots, g_n; s) \in Q_{2n}(A)$, let $I(\mathbf{v}) := (f_1, \ldots, f_n, s)A$ denote the ideal. Also, let $\omega_{\mathbf{v}} : A^n \to \frac{I(\mathbf{v})}{I(\mathbf{v})^2}$ denote the surjective map defined by assigning the standard basis $e_i \mapsto f_i + I^2$. Sometimes, $\omega_{\mathbf{v}}$ may be called a local orientation.

Now, we define local orientations of an ideal and the obstruction classes in $\pi_0(Q_{2n})$.

Definition 2.3. Suppose A is a commutative ring and I is an ideal in A. For an integer $n \geq 1$, a surjective homomorphism $\omega : A^n \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ would be called a local n-orientation of I. Clearly, a local n-orientation is determined by any set of elements $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in I$ such that $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) + I^2$. Further, given such a set of generators $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ of I/I^2, by Nakayama's lemma, there is an $s \in I$ such that $(1-s)I \subseteq (f_1, \ldots, f_n)A$, and hence $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i g_i + s(s-1) = 0$ for some $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in A$. Note,

$$(f_1, \ldots, f_n; g_1, \ldots, g_n; s) \in Q_{2n}(A).$$

Write

$$\zeta(I, \omega) := [(f_1, \ldots, f_n; g_1, \ldots, g_n, s)] \in \pi_0(Q_{2n}(A))$$

It was established in [F, Theorem 2.0.7], that this association is well defined. We refer to $\zeta(I, \omega)$, as an obstruction class. Therefore, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_{2n}(A) & & \\ \eta \downarrow & \searrow^{\zeta} & \\ \mathcal{O}(A,n) & \xrightarrow[\zeta]{} & \pi_0(Q_{2n}(A)) \end{array} \qquad \text{where } \mathcal{O}(A,n) = \text{the set of all } n\text{−orientations } (I, \omega)$$

and $\eta(\mathbf{v}) = (I(\mathbf{v}), \omega_{\mathbf{v}})$. Note, we use the same notation ζ for two set theoretic maps.

3 Homotopy and the lifting property

In this section, we restate and rework, under the relaxed hypotheses in this article, some of the results in [F] on the homotopy lifting property of the local orientations, to point out the modifications needed. First, we quote and interpret the following theorem from [S].

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose A is a regular ring containing a perfect field k. Let G be a reductive group scheme over k such that every semi-simple normal subgroup of G contains $\mathbb{G}_m^2$. Then,*

$$\forall\ \sigma(T) \in G(A[T]),\quad \sigma(0) = 1 \Longrightarrow \sigma(T) \in E(A).$$

In particular, with $G = O(q_{2n+1})$, we have

$$\forall\ \sigma(T) \in O(A[T], q_{2n+1}) \subseteq GL_n(A[T]),\ \ \sigma(0) = 1 \Longrightarrow \sigma(T) \in EO(A[T], q_{2n+1}).$$

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose A is a regular ring containing a field k. Then,*

$$\forall\ \sigma(T) \in O(A[T], q_{2n+1}),\quad \sigma(0) = 1 \Longrightarrow \sigma(T) \in EO(A[T], q_{2n+1}).$$

Proof. We will be following the arguments in [Sw, Theorem 2.1], to reduce the problem to the perfect field case. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the prime field of k. By including the coefficients of $\sigma(T)$, there is a finitely generated subalgebra $A' \subseteq A$ over $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\sigma(T) \in A'[T]$. Note, $\sigma(T) \in O(A'[T], q_{2n+1})$ and $\sigma(0) = 1$. Note $\mathbb{F} \to A$ is geometrically regular. By Popescu's theorem [Sw, Corollary 1.2], we have the diagram



of homomorphisms

such that B is smooth over $\mathbb{F}$. Since $\mathbb{F}$ is perfect, by Theorem 3.1, the $image(\sigma(T))$ in $B[T]$ is in $EO(B[T], q_{2n+1})$. Therefore, $\sigma(T) \in EO(A[T], q_{2n+1})$. The proof is complete. ∎

Remarks 3.3. To reduce the problem to the perfect field case in (3.2), using Popescu's theorem [Sw, Corollary 1.2] has the same flavor of the similar reduction in [T, pp 507], due to Mohan Kumar, which is more elementary. One can work out an alternate proof of (3.2), using this argument of Mohan Kumar.

For the benefit of the readers, we would elaborate the proof of [F, Theorem 1.0.5], while reworking the following without the perfectness condition in [F].

Theorem 3.4. *Let A be a smooth and essentially of finite type algebra, over an infinite field k, with $1/2 \in k$. Then, for $n \geq 2$, the natural map*

$$\varphi : \frac{Q'_{2n}(A)}{EO(A, q_{2n+1})} \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(Q'_{2n}\right)(A) \quad \text{is a bijection.}$$

Proof. Clearly, φ is well defined and is surjective. Now suppose $H(T) \in Q'_{2n}(A[T])$ is a homotopy. We need to show that there is a matrix $\tau \in EO(A, q_{2n+1})$ such that $H(0) = H(1)\tau$. For $R = \mathscr{B}_{2n}, A[T], A$, use the following generic notations, to denote the quadratic modules

$$\begin{cases} q := q_{2n+1} : R^{2n+1} \to R & \text{sending} \quad (u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n, s) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i v_i + s^2 \\ q_0 : R \to R & \text{sending} \quad s \mapsto s^2 \end{cases}$$

As usual, define $B_q(e, e') = \frac{q(e+e') - q(e) - q(e')}{2}$. With respect to the standard basis, the matrix of B_q is given by

$$B_q := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n & 0 \\ I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So, the map $R^{2n+1} \to (R^{2n+1})^*$ sends $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{v}B_q$. These bilinear forms give the following exact sequences (write $\mathscr{B} := \mathscr{B}_{2n}$)

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\langle (\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},z), - \rangle} \mathscr{B} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow A[T]^{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\langle H(T), - \rangle} A[T] \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0 \longrightarrow A^{2n+1} \xrightarrow[\langle H(0), - \rangle]{} A \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\text{So,} \quad \mathcal{K} = (\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, z))^{\perp}, \quad K = (A[T]H(T))^{\perp}, \quad K_0 = (RH(0))^{\perp}$$

are orthogonal complements, which inherit the quadratic structures. It follows $\overline{K} := K \otimes \frac{A[T]}{(T)} = (RH(0))^{\perp} \cong K_0$. Therefore, there is an isometry, $\sigma_0 : K_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{K}$, which extends to $\sigma_0 \otimes A[T] : K_0 \otimes A[T] \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{K} \otimes A[T]$ an isometry. (*For clarity, note that it follows from Lindel's theorem ([L]) that*

there is an isomorphism $\overline{K} \otimes A[T] \xrightarrow{\sim} K$*, which need not be an isometry*). Now, since K is a torsor (see [F]) that is locally trivial, by [W, Theorem 3.1], there is, in deed, an isometry $\overline{K} \otimes A[T] \xrightarrow{\sim} K$. By this identification, we say σ_0 extends to an isometry $\sigma_0 \otimes A[T] : K_0 \otimes A[T] \xrightarrow{\sim} K$. Also, note

$$(A[T]H(T), q_{|A[T]H(T)}) \cong (A[T], q_0) \cong (A[T]H(0), q_{|A[T]H(0)})$$

Putting all these together, there is an isometry $\sigma(T) \in O(A[T], q)$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0 \otimes A[T] & \longrightarrow & A[T]^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{\langle H(0), - \rangle} & A[T] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_0 \otimes 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma(T)} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & A[T]^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow[\langle H(T), - \rangle]{} & A[T] & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{6}$$

commutes. By construction, (*alternately, by composing with* $\sigma(0)^{-1} \otimes Id$), we have $\sigma(0) = I_{2n+1}$. Now, by Corollary 3.2, it follows $\sigma(T) \in EO(A[T])$ and hence $\sigma(1) \in EO(A)$. Since $H(T)\sigma(T) = H(0)$, the proof is complete. ■

Before we proceed, we define the action of $EO(A, q_{2n+1})$ on $Q_{2n}(A)$ and give another definition, for the convenience of subsequent discussions.

Definition 3.5. Fix a commutative ring A. As usual, $EO(A, q_{2n+1})$ acts on A^{2n+1}, which restricts to an action on $Q'_{2n}(A)$. Using the correspondences $\alpha : Q_{2n}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} Q'_{2n}(A)$, $\beta : Q'_{2n}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} Q_{2n}(A)$, define an action on $Q_{2n}(A)$ as follows:

$$\forall\ \mathbf{v} \in Q_{2n}(A), M \in EO(A, q_{2n+1}) \quad \text{define} \quad \mathbf{v} * M := \beta(\alpha(\mathbf{v})M)$$

This action is not given by the usual matrix multiplication. Five different classes of the generators of $EO(q_{2n+1})(A)$ and their action on $Q_{2n}(A)$ are given in [F].

Definition 3.6. *Let* A *be a commutative ring over* k*. Let* $\mathbf{v} \in Q_{2n}(A)$*. We write* $\mathbf{v} := (a_1, \ldots, a_n; b_1, \ldots, b_n, s)$*, For integers,* $r \geq 1$ *we say that* r*-lifting property holds for* $\mathbf{v}$*, if*

$$I(\mathbf{v}) = (a_1 + \mu_1 s^r, \ldots, a_n + \mu_n s^r) \qquad \text{for some } \mu_i \in A.$$

We say the lifting property holds for $\mathbf{v}$*, if*

$$I(\mathbf{v}) = (a_1 + \mu_1, \ldots, a_n + \mu_n) \qquad \text{for some } \mu_i \in I(\mathbf{v})^2.$$

Before we allude to the key result in [F, Corollary 3.2.6] (see (3.8), we record the following homotopy lifting theorem, due to this author (unpublished), that was used crucially in the proof.

Theorem 3.7 (Mandal)**.** *Let* R *be a regular ring containing a field* k*. Let* $H(T) := (f_1(T), \ldots, f_n(T), g_1(T), \ldots, g_n(T), s) \in Q_{2n}(R[T])$*, with* $s \in R$*. Write* $a_i = f_i(0), b_i = g_i(0)$*. Write* $I(T) = (f_1(T), \ldots, f_n(T), s)$*. Also assume* $I(0) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ *. Then,*

$$I(T) = (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \quad \ni \quad f_i - F_i \in s^2 R[T]$$

Proof. See [F, Lemma 3.1.2]. ∎

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose* A *is a regular ring containing a filed* k*, with* $1/2 \in k$*. Let* $n \geq 2$ *be an integer. Let* $\mathbf{v} \in Q_{2n}(A)$ *and* $M \in EO(A, q_{2n+1})$*. Then,* $\mathbf{v}$ *has* 2*-lifting property if and only if* $\mathbf{v} * M$ *has the* 2*-lifting property.*

Proof. We outline the proof in [F]. It would be enough to assume that M is a generator of $EO(A, q_{2n+1})$. There would be five cases to deal with, one for each type of generators of $EO(A, q_{2n+1})$, listed in [F, pp 3-4]. One of them, that is of the case of generators of the type 4 (in the list [F, pp 3-4]), is fairly involved. This case follows from Theorem 3.7 (see [F, Lemma 3.1.2]). ∎

The following summarizes the final results on homotopy and lifting of generators (also see [F, Theorem 3.2.7]).

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose* A *is a regular ring containing an infinite field* k*, with* $1/2 \in k$*. Assume* A *is smooth and essentially finite over* k *or* k *is perfect. Let* $n \geq 2$ *be an integer. Denote* $\mathbf{0} := (0, \ldots, 0; 0, \ldots, 0; 0) \in Q_{2n}(A)$ *and let* $\mathbf{v} \in Q_{2n}(A)$*. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The obstruction* $\zeta(I(\mathbf{v}, \omega_{\mathbf{v}})) = [\mathbf{0}] \in \pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$*.*

2. $\mathbf{v}$ *has* 2*-lifting property.*

3. $\mathbf{v}$ *has the lifting property.*

4. $\mathbf{v}$ *has* r*-lifting property,* $\forall\ r \geq 2$.

Proof. It is clear, (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3). To prove (3) $\Longrightarrow$ (1), suppose $I(\mathbf{v}) = (a_1 + \mu_1, \ldots, a_n + \mu_n)$, with $\mu_i \in I(\mathbf{v})^2$. Write $\mathbf{v}' = (a_1 + \mu_1, \ldots, a_n + \mu_n; 0, \ldots, 0; 0) \in Q_{2n}(A)$. By [F, 2.0.10], we have $\zeta\left(I(\mathbf{v}, \omega_{\mathbf{v}})\right) = \zeta\left(I(\mathbf{v}', \omega_{\mathbf{v}'})\right) = [v_0] \in \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)$. This establishes, (3) $\Longrightarrow$ (1).

Now we prove (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2). Assume $\zeta\left(I(\mathbf{v}, \omega_{\mathbf{v}})\right) = [\mathbf{0}]$. In case A is essentially finite over k, it follows from Theorem 3.4 that $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{v} * M$, for some $M \in EO(A, q_{2n+1})$ and (2) follows from Theorem 3.8. However, when A is regular and contains an infinite perfect field, we have to use Popescu's theorem. By definition, $\zeta\left(I(\mathbf{v}, \omega_{\mathbf{v}})\right) = [\mathbf{0}]$ implies that there is a chain homotopy from $\mathbf{v}$ to $\mathbf{0}$. This data can also be encapsulated in a finitely generated algebra A' over k. As in the proof of (3.2) there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} k & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{\iota} & B \\ & \searrow & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & A \end{array} \quad \text{of homomorphisms}$$

such that B is smooth over k. The homotopy relations are carried over to B. Therefore, by replacing A by B, we can assume that A is smooth and essentially finitely over k. So, Theorem 3.4 applies and (2) follows as in the previous case.

So, it is established that (1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (3). It is clear that (4) $\Longrightarrow$ (2). Now suppose, one of the first three conditions hold. Fix $r \geq 2$. Notice $I(\mathbf{v}) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, s^r)A$. So, replacement of s by s^r leads to the same obstruction class in $\in \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A)$, which is $= [\mathbf{0}] \in \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A)$. Since (1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2), it follows $I(\mathbf{v})$ has $2r$-lifting property and hence the r-lifting property. The proof is complete. ∎

4 Monic polynomials and the lifting property

In this section, first we give an application of (3.9), for ideals containing monic polynomials. In fact, we prove that all local orientations of such ideals

have trivial obstruction class, as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose $R = A[X]$ is a polynomial ring over a commutative ring A and I is an ideal that contains a monic polynomial. Suppose $\omega : R^n \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ is a surjective homomorphism (local orientation). Then $\zeta(I, \omega) = [\mathbf{0}] \in \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(R)$, where $\mathbf{0} := (0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0, \ldots, 0) \in Q_{2n}(R)$.*

Proof. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in I$ be a lift of ω. Then, $I = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n) + I^2$. We can assume that f_1 is a monic polynomial, with even degree and coefficient of the top degree term is 1. Now, consider the transformation [M2]:

$$\varphi : A[X, T^{\pm 1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} A[X, T^{\pm 1}] \quad \text{by} \quad \begin{cases} \varphi(X) = X - T + T^{-1} \\ \varphi(T) = T \end{cases}$$

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[X] & = & A[X] \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle T=1} \\ A[X, T^{\pm 1}] & \longrightarrow & A[X, T^{\pm 1}] \end{array}$$

Then, $\varphi(f_1) = f_1(X - T + T^{-1})$ is doubly monic in T, meaning that its lowest and the highest degree terms have coefficients 1. Let $F_1(X, T) = T^{\deg f_1(X)}\varphi(f_1) \in A[X, T]$. Then, $F_1(X, 0) = 1$. Also, for $i = 2, \ldots, n$ write $F_i(X, T) = T^{\delta}\varphi(f_i)$, for some integer $\delta \gg 0$, such that $F_i(X, T) \in TA[X, T]$. Therefore, $F_i(X, 0) = 0$. Now, write

$$\mathscr{I}' = \varphi(IA[X, T^{\pm 1}]) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{I} := \mathscr{I}' \cap A[X, T].$$

Since $\frac{A[X,T]}{\mathscr{I}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{A[X,T^{\pm 1}]}{\mathscr{I}'}$, it follows

$$\mathscr{I} = (F_1(X, T), \ldots, F_n(X, T)) + \mathscr{I}^2.$$

Therefore, by Nakayama's Lemma, there is a $S(X, T) \in \mathscr{I}$, such that

$$(1 - S(X, T))\mathscr{I} \subseteq (F_1(X, T), F_2(X, T), \ldots, F_n(X, T).$$

and hence

$$\sum F_i(X, T)G_i(X, T) + S(X, T)(S(X, T) - 1) = 0$$

for some $G_1, \ldots, G_n \in A[X,T]$. Write

$$\psi(X,T) = (F_1(X,T), F_2(X,T), \ldots, F_n(X,T); G_1(X,T), \ldots, G_n(X,T); S(X,T))$$

Then, $\psi(X,T) \in Q_{2n}(A[X,T])$ and $\mathscr{I}_{|T=1} = I$. Further,

$$\psi(X,1) = (f_1, \ldots, f_n; G_1(X,1), \ldots, G_n(X,1); S(X,1))$$

and

$$\psi(X,0) = (1,0,\ldots,0; G_1(X,0), \ldots, G_n(X,0), S(X,0)).$$

By [F, 2.0.10], $\psi(X,0) \sim \mathbf{0} \in Q_{2n}(R)$. Hence, $\psi(X,1) \sim \mathbf{0} \in Q_{2n}(R)$. Therefore,

$$\zeta(I,\omega) = [\psi(X,1)] = [\mathbf{0}] \in \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}(R)\right).$$

The proof is complete. ∎

The following is our main theorem in this article, which is an extension of the main theorem in [M2] mentioned in the introduction.

Theorem 4.2. *Let R be a regular ring over an infinite field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $A = R[X]$ is the polynomial ring. Assume R is smooth and essentially finite over k or k is perfect. Suppose, I is an ideal in A that contains a monic polynomial. Then $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$. In fact, if $\mu(I/I^2) \geq 2$, any local orientation $\omega : A^n \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ lifts to a set of generators of I.*

Proof. If $\mu(I/I^2) = 1$, then I is an invertible ideal with a monic polynomial, hence it is free. The rest follows immediately from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 3.9. The proof is complete. ∎

4.1 The consequences

In this subsection, we summarize some of the consequences of the monic polynomial Theorem 4.2. First, the following is the statement on the solution of the conjecture of M. P. Murthy. The theorem is due to Fasel [F, Theorem 3.2.9], in the case when k is perfect. However, our proof is much direct.

Theorem 4.3. *Let k be an infinite field, with $1/2 \in k$. Let $A = k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ be the polynomial ring. Then, for any ideal I of A, $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$.*

Proof. By a change of variables (see [M1, Theorem 6.1.5]), we can assume that I contains a monic polynomial in X_n. Now, the proof is complete by (4.2). ∎

Following would be a more general version of Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a regular ring over an infinite field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $A = R[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n]$ is the polynomial ring in n variables. Assume R is smooth and essentially finite over k or k is perfect. Suppose, I is an ideal in A with $height(I) \geq \dim R + 1$. Then $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$. In fact, if $\mu(I/I^2) \geq 2$, any local orientation $\omega : A^n \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ lifts to a set of generators of I.*

Proof. Again, by a change of variables (see [M1, Theorem 6.1.5]), we can assume that I contains a monic polynomial in X_n. Now, the proof is complete by (4.2). ∎

The following encompasses the solution of the weaker version of the epi-morphism conjecture (1.5), in the case when k is an infinite fields.

Theorem 4.5. *Let R be a regular ring over an infinite field k, with $1/2 \in k$. Assume R is smooth and essentially finite over k or k is perfect. Suppose*

$$\varphi : R[X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n] \longrightarrow R[Y_1, Y_2, \ldots Y_m] \quad \text{is an epi} - \text{morphism}$$

of polynomial R-algebras and $I = \ker(\varphi)$. If $n - m \geq \dim R + 1$, then $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$. In particular, if R is local, then I is a complete intersection ideal.

Proof. Since, $height(I) = n - m \geq \dim R + 1$, it follows from (4.4) that $\mu(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$. Note I/I^2 is a projective $R[Y_1, Y_2, \ldots Y_m]$-module of rank $m - n$. If R is local, then I/I^2 is free or rank $n - m$ (see [Sw, Theorem 2.1]). Hence, $\mu(I) = mu(I/I^2) = n - m$. So, I is a complete intersection ideal. The proof is complete. ∎

The following is the statement on the solution, in the case when k is an infinite fields, of the weaker version of the epi-morphism conjecture (1.5).

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose k is an infinite field and*

$$\varphi : k[X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n] \longrightarrow k[Y_1, Y_2, \ldots Y_m] \quad \text{is an epi} - \text{morphism}$$

of polynomial rings. Then, I is generated by $n - m$ elements.

Proof. Follows immediately from (4.5). ∎

5 Alternate obstructions

In this section, we give an alternate description of the obstruction sheaf $\pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A)$, which appears more traditional.

Definition 5.1. Suppose A is a commutative ring and $n \geq 1$ is an integer. Write

$$\mathcal{Q}_n(A) := \left\{ (f_1, \ldots, f_n, s) \in A^{n+1} : \exists\ g_1, \ldots, g_n \in A\ \ni\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i g_i + s(s-1) = 0 \right\}$$

Note $A \mapsto \mathcal{Q}_n(A)$ is a pre-sheaf on the category of affine schemes. As in diagram 4, one can define $\pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}_n(A)\right)$, by the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_n(A[T]) & \xrightarrow{T=0} & \mathcal{Q}_n(A) \\ {\scriptstyle T=1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Q}_n(A) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}_n\right)(A) \end{array} \qquad \text{in } \underline{\text{Sets}}.$$

There is a natural map of sheaves $Q_{2n}(A) \to \mathcal{Q}_n(A)$ sending

$$(f_1, \ldots, f_n; g_1, \ldots, g_n; s) \mapsto (f_1, \ldots, f_n, s)$$

This induces a surjective map $\Phi : \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}(\ A) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}_n\right)(A)\right.$.

Lemma 5.2. *The map $\Phi : \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}_n\right)(A)$ is a bijection.*

Proof. We define the inverse map $\Psi : \pi_0(\mathcal{Q}_P) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}(A)\right)$.
Let $(f_1, \ldots, f_n, s) \in \mathcal{Q}_n(A)$. Then, $\sum f_i g_i + s(s-1) = 0$ for some $g_i \in A$. We define,

$$\Psi\left([(f_1, \ldots, f_n, s)]\right) = [(f_1, \ldots, f_n; g_1, \ldots, g_n; s)] \in \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A).$$

We need to show that Ψ is well defined. With $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_n, s)$, let $\omega : A^n \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I}{I^2}$ be induced by $f_1, \ldots, f_n$. By [F, Lemma 2.0.10], the obstruction $\zeta(I, \omega_I) := [(f_1, \ldots, f_n; g_1, \ldots, g_n; s)] \in \pi_0\left(Q_{2n}(A)\right)$ is independent of $g_1, \ldots, g_n$. Therefore, Ψ is well defined. It is now clear that

$$\Phi\Psi = Id \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Psi\Phi = Id.$$

The proof is complete. ∎

References

[A] Abhyankar, S. S. Lectures on expansion techniques in algebraic geometry. Notes by Balwant Singh. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics, 57. *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay*, 1977. iv+168 pp.

[DG] Dutta, Amartya K.; Gupta, Neena The epimorphism theorem and its generalizations. *J. Algebra Appl.* 14 (2015), no. 9, 1540010, 30 pp.

[F] Fasel, Jean On the number of generators of ideals in polynomial rings, arXiv:1507.05734

[L] Lindel, Hartmut On the Bass-Quillen conjecture concerning projective modules over polynomial rings. *Invent. Math.* 65 (1981/82), no. 2, 319-323.

[M1] Mandal, Satya Projective modules and complete intersections. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1672. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1997.

[M2] Mandal, Satya On efficient generation of ideals. Invent. Math. 75 (1984), no. 1, 59-67.

[MR] Mandal, S.; Roy, A. Generating ideals in polynomial rings. *Math. Z.* 195 (1987), no. 3, 315-320.

[S] Stavrova, A. Homotopy invariance of non-stable K_1-functors. *J. K-Theory* 13 (2014), no. 2, 199-248.

[Mk] Kumar, N. Mohan On two conjectures about polynomial rings. *Invent. Math.* 46 (1978), no. 3, 225-236.

[M] Murthy, M. Pavaman Complete intersections. *Conference on Commutative Algebra-1975* (Queen's Univ., Kingston, Ont., 1975), pp. 196-211. Queen's Papers on Pure and Applied Math., No. 42, *Queen's Univ., Kingston, Ont.*, 1975.

[P] Popescu, Dorin Letter to the editor: "General Néron desingularization and approximation" *Nagoya Math. J.* 118 (1990), 45-53.;

[T] Vorst, Ton The general linear group of polynomial rings over regular rings. *Comm. Algebra* 9 (1981), no. 5, 499-509.

[Sw] Swan, Richard G. Néron-Popescu desingularization. *Algebra and geometry (Taipei, 1995)*, 135-192, Lect. Algebra Geom., 2, *Int. Press, Cambridge, MA*, 1998.

[W] Wendt, Matthias Rationally trivial torsors in $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory. J. K-Theory 7 (2011), no. 3, 541-572.